UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA LAFAYETTE-OPELOUSAS DIVISION

RUSSELL J. GUIDROZ, JR., ET AL., : DOCKET NO. 05-01148

Plaintiffs, :

vs. : May 17, 2007

CHAMPION ENTERPRISES, INC., ET AL., :

Defendants. : Lafayette, Louisiana

REPORTER'S OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE C. MICHAEL HILL UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: HUGH E. MCNEELY

Hagens, Berman, et al. 1 Main St., 4th Floor Cambridge, MA 02142

FOR THE DEFENDANTS: LAMONT P. DOMINGUE

Voorhies & Labbe P.O. Box 3527

Lafayette, LA 70502

REPORTED BY: LARAE BOURQUE, RPR, CRR

United States Court Reporter 800 Lafayette Street, Ste. 3103 Lafayette, Louisiana 70501

PROCEEDINGS

(Call to order of the court.)

THE COURT: Good morning, gentlemen.

All right, gentlemen. We're going to take up *Guidroz* vs. Champion Enterprises first, 05-1148.

Counsel make your appearances, please.

MR. MCNEELY: Your Honor, Hugh McNeely on behalf of the plaintiffs.

MR. DOMINGUE: Lamont Domingue on behalf of the defendants.

THE COURT: Mr. McNeely.

MR. MCNEELY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I owe you an apology.

MR. MCNEELY: Well, I don't know about that.

THE COURT: Well, I do. I told you I was going to do something and then I forgot completely about it.

MR. MCNEELY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: So I apologize to you. I apologize forgetting about you, for forgetting about what I told you. I don't apologize for the opinion, which I happen to think was right, but I do apologize for not allowing you your opportunity to amend which I told you I was going to do. I have no excuse for that.

This was an opinion that Janet and I agonized over for a long time. It's a hard case and it was a hard opinion to

write. She and I don't normally agonize. We usually argue. In this one we agonized.

I believe the conclusion I reached was the correct one under the circumstances, but it was the passage of that time in trying to get the opinion right that it just simply slipped my mind what I had told you I was going to allow you to do. So I apologize. I apologize for that. Under the circumstances -- Mr. Domingue, I'll let you say something before I rule against you if you'd like.

MR. DOMINGUE: No, Your Honor. We'll just file the motion following the allowance of the amendment.

THE COURT: All right. This is what I'm going to do.

I'm going to grant your motion to alter judgment in this way. I

am going to amend the judgment so as to make it a partial

judgment of dismissal on the claims asserted in the original

complaint so I don't have to go through that again, Mr. McNeely.

I will then allow the amendment to the -- I probably ought to do it the other way around just to make sure the case doesn't get dismissed. First, (a), I'm going to amend the amendment; (b), I'm going to grant the motion to alter and amend the judgment so as to provide that the judgment is a partial dismissal of those claims filed in the original complaint. And we'll deal with the amended complaint as soon as y'all file them. Okay?

Does that solve -- does that get us there, Mr. McNeely?

MR. MCNEELY: It certainly gets us down the road, and I'll -- as far as the dismissal relative to what was in the original complaint, you know, that's -- I'll have to think about that, but I understand what you're doing.

THE COURT: Well, I just don't want to have to -- I don't want to have to reinvent that wheel, and I think that the initial claim that you pled -- or I'll put it this way. The claim that you pled in the initial complaint I believe to be peremptory.

We'll see if the amending complaint gets you past that, but to the extent that the amending complaint incorporates those allegations found in the original complaint, I believe that is preempted and that's why I'm going to make it a partial dismissal. That's the intent of what I'm trying to get done, and then we'll take a look at -- we'll take a look at the amended pleading after that.

MR. MCNEELY: Very good.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Domingue, I assume that we're going to get another 12(b)(6) motion.

MR. DOMINGUE: I would assume that to be the case, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Y'all have discussed, as I understand it, settling the case and that just didn't work out.

MR. DOMINGUE: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Would it -- do either one of you think it

would be of benefit to either you or your clients for me to order further mediation in the case?

MR. DOMINGUE: I did not participate in the mediation that took place. It involved other claims existing in other states as well, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. DOMINGUE: And so I would have to talk to my client as to whether they thought it --

THE COURT: No. That's all right then. If the intent was to settle these claims outside -- or claims that exist outside of this lawsuit, which it sounds like it was, I'm not going to get myself involved in that.

MR. DOMINGUE: It was all claims, whether it was in Louisiana, Alabama or wherever.

THE COURT: On behalf of my fellow brethren on the bench, we'd probably like to see you do that.

MR. MCNEELY: Judge, I think it certainly is worthwhile. We'll have some more discussions relative to whether we feel like mediation would be helpful, and we'll certainly let you know whether or not that --

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I think you continue to have a tough row to hoe, Mr. McNeely. You know, sharpen up your hoe and go after it. And I'm certainly not prejudging it because I really haven't read with any detail at all the amended complaint, only to such -- only in such detail as I thought I

might have to to deal with Mr. Domingue's argument that the amendment would be without benefit, and certainly it isn't that. So I've read enough to know that you get past that hurdle anyway. Whether you win in the end or not, I don't know, but, like I say, sharpen up your hoe and take your best shot.

MR. MCNEELY: Your Honor, that's how we make our money, how we earn it.

THE COURT: That's how we earn it. Sometimes we make it differently, but that's how we earn it. Just ask these guys behind you. Thank you very much, gentlemen. You're excused.

Hold on a second, Mr. McNeely.

MR. MCNEELY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Janet pointed out to me that the case is not set for trial and I don't want it to fall through -- I don't want it to fall through the cracks. I tell you what we'll do. Can you -- if you're going to file a 12(b) motion, can you do it in 15 days?

MR. DOMINGUE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's do it that way. I'm going to order you to file your 12(b)(6) motion within 15 days in default of which we will set a scheduling order. Does that work for everybody?

MR. MCNEELY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Otherwise, if we don't do that, I'll commit an error of faux pas, lack of memory number two in this case, and

```
it will sit here forever. So we'll do it that way. Thank you,
 1
 2
      gentlemen.
                 MR. DOMINGUE: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
                 MR. MCNEELY: Thank you.
 4
 5
                                                 (Hearing adjourned.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

LAFAYETTE-OPELOUSAS DIVISION

RUSSELL J. GUIDROZ, JR., ET AL.

6 vs.

DOCKET NUMBER 05-1148

CHAMPION ENTERPRISES, INC., ET AL. :

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, LaRae E. Bourque, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court, Western District of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing 7 pages are a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings had in this matter, as hereabove set forth, and that I have no interest of any nature whatsoever regarding the ultimate disposition of this litigation.

I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States.

LARAE E. BOURQUE, RER, CRE Official Court Reporter